**NAC Annual report 2011**

The EFPA Regulations on EuroPsy set out the duties of the NAC and include: Article 17 l) to prepare an annual report of activities for the European Awarding Committee m) to provide relevant information and participate in the annual meeting of Presidents of the National Awarding Committees Annual report for 2011 We would appreciate if you could fill in this questionnaire before February 25, 2012. Thanks a lot. The EAC team.

\* Zahtevano

Vrh obrazca

**1. Members of the NAC**

Please list the names of the National Awarding Committee

Please list the names \* 

Anja Podlesek, the chair

Robert Cvetek

Robert Masten

Vita Poštuvan

Maja Smrdu

Božena Stritih

Blanka Tacer

Brigita Žugman

Gregor Žvelc

**2. Meetings of NAC**

How many meetings of NAC during 2011 or since approval

Data \* 

Three meetings in 2011 (one in February and two after provisional approval, in June and in October) and one meeting in February 2012.

**3. How many EuroPsy applications?**

How many EuroPsy applications have been received?

Grandparenting \* 

Normal Route \* 

**4. Acceptance**

How many of those have been accepted? Without and with additional information?

Without additional information? \* 

With additional information? \* 

**5. Rejection**

How many have been rejected?

How many? \* 

On which grounds? 

**6. How many have been deferred?**

How many? 

On which grounds? 

**7. Duration of procedure**

How much time has passed between receipt of the application and the decision?

Which delay? 

**8. Appeals**

How many appeals have been received? 

How have they been handled and which were the outcomes? 

**9. Number of certificates issued**

How many certificates have been issued in 2011? \* 

How many in total? \* 

**10. Withdrawal of certificates**

How many certificates have been withdrawn? \* 

On which grounds? 

**11. Ethics**

Your notes on ethics 

**12. Supervision**

Your notes on supervision 

**13. Assessment and awarding process**

Your notes on the assessment and awarding process 

**14. Registration, certificate and website**

Your notes on the registration, issuing the Certificate and the website 

The Slovenian EuroPsy website was updated in February 2012. Detailed information on how to obtain the certificate and what evidence has to be submitted is now available. Also, a forum related to EuroPsy was added.

**15. EuroPsy Fee**

What is the fee for EuroPsy in the country? \* without the EFPA fee of 25€ 

25 EUR

**16. Your national EuroPsy website**

Address of the relevant website for information about EuroPsy 

www.europsy.si

**17. NAC approval**

Any update/changes on the original submission for NAC approval?

Please describe 

In 2011, NAC was working on the comments to our application for awarding the EuroPsy (we evaluated different curricula, established the common national ethics committee representing different psychologists' associations in June 2011, updated the translation of the Regulations, etc.). The updated application was submitted to EAC on February 10, 2012.

**18. Supervised practice**

Any developments in supervised practice in the country?

Please describe 

The network of mentors of supervised practice has not been expanded in the last year (at the moment there are 20 trained mentors), but we have been looking for some financial support and we have prepared an educational programme for mentors of supervised practice. In January 2012 we responded to the European Social Funds call and wrote a proposal of the project in which a training programme would be implemented.

**19. Continuing education**

Any developments in continuing education in the country?

Please describe 

In 2011, different sections of the Slovenian Psychologist's Association have been encouraged to organize different workshops, roundtables and other types of meetings for their members at least four times a year. For example, the work and organisational psychology section organized meetings in different companies in order to exchange good practice. The sport psychology section has developed a programme for continuing education of its members. Some other sections continue with the intervision groups. Sections have also been encouraged to organize a general conference on contemporary professional issues once a year.

**20. Implementation EuroPsy**

Any challenges in implementation of EuroPsy in the country

Challenges ? 

Programmes evaluation:

In Slovenia, a first-phase biopsychology study programme exists at University of Primorska. The following question appeared: would the formal title “Master in Biopsychology” impose any limitations on obtaining the EuroPsy certificate for such graduates? (As this is a new program, at the moment we do not know yet whether such a title would by custom lead to the qualification of "psychologist").

Another problem is closely related to this issue. Namely, it is not clear what happens when the students come to a second-phase programme from a different field, which is possible in Slovenia. For example, they can finish the first phase study programme in social work (or, for example, in biopsychology) and by doing additional exams (amounting to approx. 60 ECTS) they can enrol into a second-phase programme in psychology. Although they will obtain the Master's degree in psychology, their education will not entirely fit the EuroPsy framework. Will we have the grounds to turn such a graduate down when (s)he applies for the EuroPsy certificate, if (s)he holds a nationally recognized title Master in Psychology and is therefore allowed to work as a psychologist?

A similar problem occurs when somebody finishes a first-phase programme in psychology and enrols into the second-phase programme offered by a different university. He/she already may had developed some competences in his/her first-phase programme and he may get a certain amount of ECTS points approved in the second-phase programme. Altogether, he/she will not gather 300 (independent) ECTS points. Will he/she be eligible for obtaining the EuroPsy certificate? The EuroPsy framework for education, being flexible and not imposing a strict regulation of the study programmes, does not tell how to handle such cases; perhaps this should be discussed.

**21. Other**

Other relevant issues?

Any suggestions? 

**22. Your Country**

Which NAC? \* 

Slovenian

Who filled in the questionnaire? \* 

Anja Podlesek, the chair

Dno obrazca

Uporablja tehnologijo [Google Dokumentov](http://docs.google.com) [Prijavite zlorabo](https://docs.google.com/spreadsheet/reportabuse?formkey=dGdLUl9sUGd0aVNpNl9aSFZMSzFrYmc6MQ&source=https%253A%252F%252Fdocs.google.com%252Fspreadsheet%252Fviewform%253Fformkey%253DdGdLUl9sUGd0aVNpNl9aSFZMSzFrYmc6MQ) - [Pogoji storitve](http://www.google.com/accounts/TOS) - [Dodatni pogoji](http://www.google.com/google-d-s/terms.html)